

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/018,184	03/15/2002	Ralf Duckeck	1949	1706
7590 12/10/2003		EXAMINER		
Striker Striker		GIBSON, ERIC M		
103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application N .	Applicant(s)
	10/018,184	DUCKECK, RALF
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eric M Gibson	3661
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondenc address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>	November 2003.	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 10-15 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	a) accepted or b) dobjected o	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the foreign language point 14) The translation of the foreign language point 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Inst of the certified copies not receistic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 irst sentence of the specification provisional application has been restic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	ation No vived in this National Stage ived. 9(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. received. 20 and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/018,184

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The proposed drawing corrections were received on 11/6/2003. The drawing corrections are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 10, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koizumi et al. (US006151552A).
- a. As per claim 10, Koizumi teaches a method for controlling the scale of a map detail shown on a display unit of a navigation device, including setting the scale as a function of the distance of the current vehicle position from a next decision point located between the position and a destination (column 18, lines 12-14), setting the scale in such a way that the current position and next decision point are shown on the display (column 25, lines 55-57), and displaying the route in the largest possible scale for the display unit (column 18, lines 35-39).
- b. As per claim 11, Koizumi teaches setting the scale such that a predetermined surrounding area can be shown on the display (column 23, lines 2-8).

Application/Control Number: 10/018,184

Art Unit: 3661

c. As per claim 15, Koizumi teaches a navigation device including a display unit for showing a map detail (14, figure 1), a control unit for setting the scale of the map detail display (10, figure 1), wherein the control unit sets the scale of the map display as a function of the distance of a current vehicle position from a next decision point (column 18, lines 12-14), setting the scale in such a way that the current position and next decision point are shown on the display (column 25, lines 55-57), and displaying the route in the largest possible scale for the display unit (column 18, lines 35-39).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koizumi in view of Takanabe et al. (US004675676A).
- a. As per claim 12, Koizumi teaches the invention as explained in the rejection of claim 10. Koizumi does not teach that the scale is inversely proportional to the distance. Takanabe teaches a method of controlling the scale of a map detail shown on a display unit of a navigation device including setting the scale of map detail displayed as a function of a distance from a decision point on a calculated driving route, wherein the scale is inversely proportional the distance (see table 1, column 14), in order to provide an increasingly larger scale as the vehicle approaches the point. It

'Application/Control Number: 10/018,184

Art Unit: 3661

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, for the scale to be inversely proportional the distance in the system of Koizumi, in order to provide an increasingly larger scale as the vehicle approaches the point, as taught by Takanabe.

- b. As per claim 13, Koizumi teaches the invention as explained in the rejection of claim 10. Koizumi does not teach that the scale is increased in preset stages as the vehicle approaches the point. Takanabe teaches a method of controlling the scale of a map detail shown on a display unit of a navigation device including setting the scale of map detail displayed as a function of a distance from a decision point on a calculated driving route, wherein the scale is increased in preset stages (see table 1, column 14), in order to provide an increasingly larger scale as the vehicle approaches the point. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, for the scale to be increased in preset stages in the system of Koizumi, in order to provide an increasingly larger scale as the vehicle approaches the point, as taught by Takanabe.
- c. As per claim 14, Koizumi teaches the invention as explained in the rejection of claim 10. Koizumi does not teach changing the scale when the current vehicle position has reached a decision point. Takanabe teaches a method of controlling the scale of a map detail shown on a display unit of a navigation device including setting the scale of map detail displayed as a function of a distance from a decision point on a calculated driving route, wherein the scale is changed when the current vehicle position has reached a decision point (column 15, lines 37-55), in order

'Application/Control Number: 10/018,184

Art Unit: 3661

٠ له

to show greater details as the vehicle approaches a destination. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, for the scale to be changed when the current vehicle position has reached a decision point in the system of Koizumi, in order to show greater details as the vehicle approaches a destination, as taught by Takanabe.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Specifically, the newly cited reference, Koizumi, is relied upon for the teaching of a decision point (guidance object intersection) located between the current vehicle position and the destination that is shown on a display at the largest possible scale.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kusama et al. (US005893045A) teaches a navigation apparatus with a relationship between guide target intersections. Izawa (US005471205A) teaches a map displaying method.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

*Application/Control Number: 10/018,184

Art Unit: 3661

Approductive control (variable). To o to, i

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric M Gibson whose telephone number is (703) 306-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

EMG